דָּבֶר אַחָר: מְשַׁלֵם הַקָּרוֹשׁ בָּרוּךְ הוּא לְרְנֵי אָדָם מִדָּה בְּנֶנֶד מִדָּה וְכִוּ׳. אַפַּלוּ לְצִדִּיקִי עוֹלֶם מְשַׁלֵּם מִדָּה בְּנֶנֶד מִדָּה, וְרָנֵי הָעִזִּים, וּבָנִיו רְמּוּ בּוֹ בְּּגְדִי הָעִזִּים, בְּּנֶנֶד מְדָּה בְּאָבִיו [כְּשֶׁקְבֵּל הַבְּּרְכוֹת] בְּעוֹרוֹת גְּדָיֵי הָעִזִּים, וּבָנֵיו רְמּוּ בּוֹ בְּגְדִי הָעִזִּים, וֹבְּרִשׁ הַעָּיִם וַיִּטְבְּלוּ אֶת הַכָּתּנֶת בַּדָּם״.

בות בות הפתנת בות - and they dipped the coat in the blood. Most parents try to hide their preferences in the interests of good parenting. Jacob not only made no effort to hide his preference for Joseph, but even gave him the coat of many colors to show his other sons that he considered Joseph their leader. A visible proof of this proclamation of leadership may be found in the fact that the brothers felt compelled to take the coat from Joseph and damage it—by tearing it and staining it with blood—to such an extent that it could never be worn again. (Darosh Darash Yosef, p. 94)

The laws chumush

~ SUINO

ייִוְעֶלֶיהָ הַפֶּפִים אֲשֶׁרְעִלֶּיהַ מְעִילִּים וֵיצִּא אוֹתְהּ ייוֹהַפֶּפִים אֲשֶׁרְעִלֶּיהַ מְעִילִים וֵיצִּא אוֹתְהּ מְשְׁרְתוֹ הַהְוֹץ וְנָעֵלֹּ הַנֶּדְלָּתְ אָחָרִיהָ: הַפָּפִים אֲשֶׁרְעִלֵּיה בַּוֹ תַּלְּבְּישׁוְ בְּנְוֹתְר הַפַּפִים אֲשֶׁרְעִלֶּיה בִּוֹתְלָּתְר עַלִּירָאשָׁה וְהַעֶּלֶּה יִהְעָּה וַהְּשֶׁם וְדָהֹּ עַלִּירראשָׁה וַהַּלֶּרָה יִנְעָּקְהוּ

, ויבא קין מפרי האדמה מהו מן מותר מאכלו, ורבנן אמרו זרע פשתן היה, והבל הביא גם הוא מבכורות צאנו ומחלביהן לפיכך נאסר צמר ופשתים שנא' (דברים כב) לא תלבש שעטנז וגו' ואמר הקב"ה אינו דין שיתערב מנחת החוטא עם מנחת זכאי לפיכך נאסר.

midmsh Tunchuma

(3)

. The ketonet atoned

for those who wore a mixture of wool and linen, as it says, "And he made him a ketonet passim" (Bereishit 37);

ויקמש נבת

6

לב. וַיְשַׁלְּחוּ אָת כְּתֹנֶת הַשַּּפִּים. וְנְעִם מִי שִׁלְּחוּ הַכָּתּנֶת? אָלֶא] הִיוּ מְפִּיסִין [מְטִילִים גּוֹרְל] זָה עִם זָה מִי ישל אוֹתָה וְיוֹלִיכָה אֶל יַעֲקֹב אֲבִיהֶם, וְכִיוָן שֶׁהָפִיסוּ, בָּא [הַגּוֹרְל] לִיהוּדָה, וְשִׁלְחוּ אוֹתָה בְּיָדוֹ, שֶׁנְּאֲמַר: ״וַיְשַׁלְחוּ אֶת כְּחֹנֶת הַפָּפִּים וְגוֹ״.

ןכְל זֶח לְתְּוַבֵּר בְּעְנְיֵן – All this was done by them in order to establish their non-involvement in the matter. בי יָרָע קְּנְאָם הוֹשׁר אַנְיָם לְּתְּבֶּר אַנִים בְּרַנְּמָם אוֹוּטוּ – For if they had remained silent, [Jacob] would have suspected them, saying, "You killed him!" בי יָרָע קְנְאָחָם בּי – For he knew about their jealousy of [Joseph].

[Ramban cites another interpretation:]

יוֵש מְפֶּרְשִׁים "וַיְשֵׁלְּחוּ", שָּׁתְּקְעוּ בָּה רָשֶׁלֵח לְּכֶּרְעָה בְּמְקְמִוֹת רְבִּים בְּרְמוֹת שׁנִי וֹיוֹת וֹשְׁלְחוּ There are those 123 who interpret the word וְיַשְּלְחוּ to mean that they stuck a sword (שְׁלֵח) into [the tunic] to tear it in many places, so that it should have something like the semblance of marks left by the teeth of wild beasts. "בְּלְשׁלְח יַבְּשְׁלֵח יִבְּעָּלְח יִבְּלְּח יִבְּרִרְּתְּיִם וֹעַבְרִרְּיִם וֹעַבְּרִים (Job 36:12). 124

*)* 

Rumbun 28:35 xecos

(6)

אכלתהו depicts the loss of his son, whereas טרף טרף expresses the horror of the event. He sees his son, his beloved child, the blooming youth, between the teeth of a beast of prey. "Yosef has been torn! Torn!"

Rau Hirsch

But why didn't any of them attempt to sprinkle soothing balm upon the wound? Why didn't they reveal to him: "Yosef is alive!"? The answer: because that would have been the greatest cruelty of all. In the minds of parents, a child who was torn by wild beasts is never lost, but a child who is wicked is worse than lost. Therefore, he who would not aggravate the father's grief a thousandfold would have to remain silent until the day when Yosef would return and the joy of the reunion would mitigate in the father's mind even the crime that had been committed by his other sons. Had they told Ya'akov at that time the truth about what had been done to Yosef, Ya'akov would have felt as though he had lost not only one son, but ten sons at one time.

Ray Hisch ,55:45 NEWS

Perhaps the Torah wishes to stress that the comfort was not expressed in words but in the demonstration of how many sons and daughters Jacob had left. They had first observed their father rend his garments, wear sackcloth and carry on mourning for an mordinately long period. This would have been appropriate if Jacob had lost an only son, or even one of relatively few children. Since Jacob had many children, he should have remembered what he had left instead of only harping on what he had lost. His children brought this to Jacob's attention without uttering a word by surrounding him with their combined presence. This was supposed to provide some comfort. Jacob, however, refused to accept comfort, saying that the reason he would mourn Joseph until his own death was that Joseph was one of a kind and there was no substitute for him.

Or Hachaim 36:36

ביר אתו אָבִיו — And his father wept for him. Isaac wept that Jacob had assumed lifelong mourning, which would have the effect of keeping the Divine presence (Shechinah) away from him.

Summary of Abarbanel

Starno Bereight

(13)

Five points summarize Yaakov's inconsolability:

- Paternal Loss
- **Funeral Farce**
- The Demise of Incomparable Yosef
- Heavenly Dread
- Metaphysical Rupture

ייָאַל־חָּבְתּוּ לְּמֵת וְאֵל־-חָּגָרוּ לֹוִיי. — Do not cry for a deceased, and do not shake your head for him. 1841 This is interpreted to mean: יותר מראי וותר מראי - Do not cry for a deceased to excess; אָל־חָנָדוֹ לוֹי׳ ווֹתֵר מְבַשׁועור, — and do not shake your

head for him beyond the measure. הא פיצר – How so? What is the measure for mourning? שלשה ימים לבבי – Three days for weeping, פון לְהָּלְפֵּר – seven days for lamenting יוֹלְתִּקְּפּוֹרָת – and thirty days to refrain from pressing clothes and haircutting. מְנָאוֹ וְאֵילְּךְּ – From

that point and on, אָמֵר הַקָּרוֹש בָּרוּךְ הוּא – the Holy One, Blessed is He, says: אָיָם רְחָמָנִים בּוֹ וּיִחֶר מְמָנִי - "You are not more compassionate on [the deceased] than I am."[38]

Med Kattan 276